ARE THE PLANETS INHABITED?



E. WALTER MAUNDER, F.R.A.S. SUPERINTENDENT OF THE SOLAR DEPARTMENT, YAL OBSERVATORY

THE OUESTION STATED - Part 1

the heavenly bodies was an obvious one: point which is our world?" they were lights. There was a greater light to rule the day; a lesser light to rule the This is the meaning of the controversy on night; and there were the stars also.

The earth seemed to be vast, dark, and organic body. motionless; the celestial lights seemed to

which shine down upon us. It is [Pg 2] Could we transport

ourselves to a neighbouring world, the cannot rightly speak of any other world as earth would seem a star, not distinguishable being "inhabited" if it is not the home of in kind from the rest.

But as men realized this, they began to ask: which they flourish would be uninhabited in *Since this world from a distant standpoint our estimation, and its chief interest would must appear as a star, would not a star, if we lie in the possibility that in the course of could get near enough to it, show itself also ages life might change its forms and develop as a world? This world teems with life; above hereafter into manifestations with which we all, it is the home of human life. Men and could claim a nearer kinship. women, gifted with feeling, intelligence, and character, look upward from its surface On the other hand, of necessity we are

heavenly host. Are none of these the home of beings gifted with like powers, who watch THE first thought that men had concerning in their turn the movements of that shining

the Plurality of Worlds which excited so much interest some sixty years ago, and has In those days there seemed an immense been with us more or less ever since. It is the difference between the earth upon which desire to recognize the presence in the orbs men stood, and the bright objects that shone around us of beings like ourselves, possessed down upon it from the heavens above. of personality and intelligence, lodged in an

be small, and moved, and shone. The earth This is what is meant when we speak of a was then regarded as the fixed centre of world being "inhabited." It would not, for the universe, but the Copernican theory has example, at all content us if we could ascertain

since deprived it of this world from a distant that Jupiter was this pride of place. "Since this world from a distant covered by a Yet from another standpoint must appear as a star, shoreless ocean, point of view the would not a star, if we could get rich in every variety new conception of its position involves near enough to it, show itself also as the hard rocks of a promotion, since a world? This world teems with life; the Moon were the earth itself is above all, it is the home of human delicately veiled now regarded as a heavenly body life. Men and women, gifted with no richness [Pg of the same order feeling, intelligence, and character, 3] of vegetation as some of those look upward from its surface and and no fulness watch the shining members of the animal life would amongst them, and heavenly host. Are none of these justify an explorer it too moves and the home of beings gifted with like in describing some shines—shines, as some of them do, powers, who watch in their turn the discovered as by reflecting the movements of that shining point being "inhabited" light of the sun. which is our world?"

there, so we intelligent life. If the life did not rise above the level of algæ or oysters, the globe on

if no men were

and watch the shining members of the precluded from extending our enquiry

to the case of disembodied intelligences, the opposite view from that held by Brews if such be conceived possible. All created half a century earlier. existences must be conditioned, but if we have no knowledge of what those [Pg 5] For myself, if there be any conditions may be, or means for attaining significance attaching to the solvi such knowledge, we cannot discuss them, problem, I do not know what it Nothing can be affirmed, nothing denied, decide that there are very many concerning the possibility of intelligences worlds, or that there are few, or that t and all similar conceptions, escape the have found no "inhabitant" there. Has th possibility of discussion by our Ignorance of fact any theological bearing? or if, on the as they are beyond sight and touch.

[Pg 4] The only beings, then, the presence on this earth, why should it be different of which would justify us in regarding with regard to the continents of another another world as "inhabited" are such as planet? would justify us in applying that term to a part of our own world. They must possess The problem therefore seems not to be intelligence and consciousness on the one theological or metaphysical, but purely hand; on the other, they must likewise have \physical. We have simply to ask with regard corporeal form. True, the form might be to each heavenly body which we pass in imagined as different from that we possess; review: "Are its physical conditions, so but, as with ourselves, the intelligent spirit far as we can ascertain them, such as must be lodged in and expressed by a living would render the maintenance of life material body. Our enquiry is thus rendered possible upon it?" The question is not a physical one; it is the necessities of the at all as to how life is generated on living body that must guide us in it; a world a world, but as to whether, if once unsuited for living organisms is not, in the in action on a particular world, sense of this enquiry, a "habitable" world. its activities could be carried

The discussion, as it was carried on sixty years ago by Dr. Whewell and Sir David E.W.M. Brewster, was essentially a metaphysical, almost a theological one, and it was chiefly considered in its supposed relationship to certain religious conceptions. It was urged that it was derogatory to the wisdom and goodness of the Creator to suppose that He would have created so many great and glorious orbs without having a definite purpose in so doing, and that the only purpose for which a world could be made was that it might be inhabited. So, again, when Dr. A. R. Wallace revived the discussion in 1903, he clearly had a theological purpose in his opening paper, though he was taking

existing on the Moon or even in the Sun but one—our own—I fail to see how it should if we are unable to ascertain under what modify our religious beliefs. For example: limitations those particular intelligences explorers have made their way across the subsist. Gnomes, sylphs, elves, and fairies, Antarctic continent to the South Pole but their properties. As nothing can be asserted contrary, a race of men had been discovered of them they remain beyond investigation, there, what change would it have made in the theological position of anyone? And if this be so with regard to a new continent